
**APPENDIX 8: PROJECT/ DISSERTATION/ THESIS SCORING RUBRIC FOR BOTH EXTERNAL AND INTERNAL EXAMINERS**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Please provide scores for each item on this Thesis / Dissertation Scoring Table** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| **Candidate Names:** | | |  | | | | | | | **Registration Number:** |  |
| **Thesis/Dissertation Title (Not Scored but amendable):** | | | | | | | |  | | | |
| **Scored Item** | | | | | | | | **Max Score** | ***Obtained Score*** | ***Brief Comments/ Remarks*** | |
| **Title (Clarity)** | | | | | | | | 1 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **ABSTRACT** | | | | | | | | 2 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **PROFESSIONAL ORGANISATION/ FORMAT** | | | | | | | | 2 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **1 CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION** | | | | | | | | **20** |  |  | |
| 1 1 | Background to the Study | | | | | | | 4 |  |  | |
| 1 2 | Statement of the Problem | | | | | | | 4 |  |  | |
| 1 3 | Research Objectives | | | | | | | 4 |  |  | |
| 1 4 | Research Questions/Hypothesis(es) | | | | | | | 4 |  |  | |
| 1 5 | Conceptual/Theoretical Framework | | | | | | | 4 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **2 CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW** | | | | | | | | **20** |  |  | |
| 2 1 | Alignment with Objectives | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 2 2 | Up-to-date/Current | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 2 3 | Gap Identification | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 2 4 | Relevancy | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **3 CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY (RESEARCH PROCESS)** | | | | | | | | **20** |  |  | |
| 3 1 | Philosophy | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 3 2 | Methodology | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 3 3 | Methods - alignment with objectives/ research questions | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 3 4 | Population and sample (well-determined?) | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **4 CHAPTER FOUR: DATA PRESENTATION, ANALYSIS & INTERPRETATION OF RESULTS** | | | | | | | | **15** |  |  | |
| 4 1 | Data presentation - easy to understand | | | | | | | 3 |  |  | |
| 4 2 | Alignment with Objectives | | | | | | | 2 |  |  | |
| 4 3 | Analysis | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 4 4 | Interpretation | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **5 CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, RECOMMENDADTIONS** | | | | | | | | **20** |  |  | |
| 5 1 | Discussion - clear reference to the analysis and literature review | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 5 2 | Conclusions - drawn from the problem statement/ gap and discussion | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 5 3 | Recommendations - linked to the conclusions/ how implementable | | | | | | | 5 |  |  | |
| 5 4 | Applicability | | | | | | | 3 |  |  | |
| 5 5 | Originality/ new knowledge and or skills | | | | | | | 2 |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |
|  | **TOTAL MARKS** | | | | | | | **100** |  |  | |
|  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | |

****

SECTION B: COMMENTS FROM THE EXAMINER (TO BE GIVEN TO THE STUDENT)

|  |
| --- |
| **All the comments count Give them to the student** |

SECTION C: SUMMARY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

**NOTES/GUIDELINES FOR EXAMINERS**

1. The pass mark for Master’s dissertation is 60%
2. Minor corrections ***(Section C 2)*** refer to editorial corrections, slight re-organization of sections, .and minor modifications of tables, paragraphs or sentences to be submitted within one (1) month for Masters degrees
3. Major corrections ***(Section C 3)*** refer to substantial corrections and revisions that are stated in the examiner’s report and are to be submitted within three (3) months by the candidate Examiners should include strong reasons based on substantial missing gaps that cannot be corrected by the candidate within one (1) month
4. For not accepting ***(Section C 4)*** it may be in situations where; additional data collection is needed, additional data analysis is needed, additional literature review is needed or a need for re-writing the entire dissertation
5. Not accepted but may be re-submitted for a lower award ***(Section C 5)*** may be in situations where the candidate fails the dissertation for three (3) consecutive times

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S/n** | **Examiner’s recommendations** | **Tick** |
| 1 | Pass as it is (No revisions or typographical errors required) |  |
| 2 | Pass with minor corrections (list the errors/changes on separate) |  |
| 3 | Pass with Major Corrections (Substantial revisions are needed as indicated in detailed examiner’s report) |  |
| 4 | Not accepted but may be Resubmitted after one or more of the following:   1. Additional data collection 2. Additional data analysis 3. Additional literature review 4. Re-writing |  |
| 5 | Not accepted but may be re-submitted for a lower award |  |
| 6 | Reject outright (Specify legitimate reasons on a separate sheet) |  |

Name of External/ Internal Examiner:

Signature: …………………………………………………… Date: